BRCAC 2/10/20 QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

- 1. Is the City College track and football field within 500 feet of the 280 freeway? If so, was that location or the soccer field next to it checked for APEZ or excessive air pollution?
- 2. Please note that Lick Wilmerding High School paid for part of the City College playing fields in exchange for use of the fields and track for High School student physical activities. Lick Wilmerding High School students and athletes should be included in the analysis of sensitive receptors whether or not the City College site is considered an APEZ site.
- 3. Parts of Sunnyside exist within 500 feet of the 280 freeway. Was the SE side of Sunnyside evaluated for cumulative air quality impacts of the development, the freeway, nearby streets and the eastern City College parking lot? If not, why did the Planning Department not evaluate this location?
- 4. Why was a 24-hour noise monitoring study not implemented for Riordan High School? As I wrote in my comments, only short-term sound assessments were made for Riordan High School, which is also a boarding school, and that testing was for a short period, less than half an hour before 9:30am. Riordan is one of the most adversely impacted sensitive receptors closest to the site. It's disturbing that the Planning Department couldn't even do a 24-hour monitoring test, although it managed to do such tests at distances further away.
- 4. It's significant that although Planning Department responses to comments acknowledge that those doing strenuous athletics are at greater risk from taking in greater amounts of air pollutants, that risk isn't being counted.
- 5. Please clarify why short-term severe impacts aren't being counted as harmful and adverse impacts from pollution are only averaged out over the period of development?
- 6. Please explain why the Balboa Reservoir development was deemed "an environmental leadership development project" when in fact, it is polluting the neighborhood, harming residents, children, childcare center businesses, and students, and will be powering up highly polluting diesel backup generators on multiple buildings on a

regular basis? There are technical cleaner alternatives such as electric batteries, available now, which have dropped in price over time.

- 7. It has been noted in the response to the comment period that "potential changes in the construction schedule could result in a significant and unavoidable impact." Please explain here in this meeting to the neighborhoods how you plan to offset NO2 emissions that exceed the Air District's threshhold? Who will benefit from OFFSETS as we donate our health and the brain activity of our children, the future adults of San Francisco?
- 8. The developers must provide MERV filters at all the sensitive receptor sites potentially significantly adversely impacted by the development, not just the childcare center that they are planning to build.